

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID A. STEBBINS,
Plaintiff.

v.

GOOGLE LLC,
Defendant.

Case No. [23-cv-00322-TLT](#)

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN
FORMA PAUPERIS**

Re: Dkt. No. 89

Pro se Plaintiff David Stebbins filed a Notice of Appeal, ECF 88, after the Northern District of California issued a final judgment, ECF 71, and denied Plaintiff's Motion to Set Aside the Final Judgment, ECF 87.


Plaintiff subsequently filed an application with this Court to proceed *in forma pauperis*. ECF 89. The Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Northern District of California does not have federal appellate jurisdiction. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291.

In any future application with the Northern District of California, Plaintiff must follow the procedure explained in the Court's previous Order, ECF 71, or risk being subject to sanctions:

[I]n any future action in this District in which Mr. Stebbins seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis*, a copy of this Order shall be attached to the application seeking to proceed *in forma pauperis* along with a statement seeking screening of the complaint and a statement explaining why the action should be allowed to proceed. (Or. Granting Mot. to Dismiss and Decl. Vexatious Litig., ECF 71, at 18).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 1, 2024


TRINA L. THOMPSON
United States District Judge